PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PCT-2005-633	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/JP2005/014282	International filing date (day/month/year) 04 August 2005 (04.08.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 31 January 2005 (31.01.2005)
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form F	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237	
Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC WORKS	S, LTD.	,

	·	•	
1.	This international preliminary International Searching Author	report on patentability (Chapter ority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).	I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the
2.	This REPORT consists of a to	otal of 6 sheets, including this cov	ver sheet.
	In the attached sheets, any refeto the international preliminar	erence to the written opinion of ty report on patentability (Chapte	he International Searching Authority should be read as a reference r I) instead.
.3.	This report contains indication	ns relating to the following items	:
	Box No. I	Basis of the report	•
	Box No. II	Priority	• .
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under applicability; citations and	Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	national application
; ;	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international application
4.	The International Bureau will not, except where the applica date (Rule 44bis .2).	l communicate this report to desi nt makes an express request unde	gnated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but er Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
			•
	•	• 	Date of issuance of this report 31 July 2007 (31.07.2007)
	The International B 34, chemin des 0 1211 Geneva 20,	Colombettes	Authorized officer Masashi Honda
Facsi	mile No. +41 22 338 82 70		e-mail: pt08.pct@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

特許協力条約

発信人 日本国特許庁 (国際調査機関)

代理人

板谷 康夫

REC'D 15 SEP 2005

WIPO

PCT

日本国大阪府大阪市中央区南船場3丁目9番10号

PCT 国際調査機関の見解書 (法施行規則第40条の2) [PCT規則43の2.1]

発送日 -(日.月.年) **13. 9.** 2005

出願人又は代理人

の書類記号

PCT-2005-633

今後の手続きについては、下記2を参照すること。

国際出願番号

04. 08. 2005

優先日

国際特許分類 (IPC) Int.Cl. G02B6/42, H01L31/0232, 33/00, H01R13/46, 31/06

出願人(氏名又は名称)

1. この見解費は次の内容を含む。

第 I 概 見解の基礎

第Ⅱ概 優先権

第Ⅲ棚 新規性、進歩性又は産業上の利用可能性についての見解の不作成

第IV概 発明の単一性の欠如

第V欄 PCT規則 43 の 2.1(a)(i)に規定する新規性、進歩性又は産業上の利用可能性についての見解、 それを裏付けるための文献及び説明

第VI棡 ある種の引用文献

第VII概 国際出願の不備

国際出願に対する意見 第W椰

2. 今後の手続き

国際予備審査の請求がされた場合は、出願人がこの国際調査機関とは異なる国際予備審査機関を選択し、かつ、その国 際予備審査機関がPCT規 66.1 の 2(b)の規定に基づいて国際調査機関の見解書を国際予備審査機関の見解書とみなさ ない旨を国際事務局に通知していた場合を除いて、この見解書は国際予備審査機関の最初の見解書とみなされる。

この見解書が上記のように国際予備審査機関の見解書とみなされる場合、様式PCT/ISA/220を送付した日か ら3月又は優先日から22月のうちいずれか遅く満了する期限が経過するまでに、出願人は国際予備審査機関に、適当 な場合は補正書とともに、答弁書を提出することができる。

さらなる選択肢は、様式PCT/ISA/220を参照すること。・

3. さらなる詳細は、様式PCT/ISA/220の備考を参照すること。

見解恋を作成した日

30. 08. 2005

名称及びあて先

日本国特許庁(ISA/JP) 郵便番号100-8915 東京都千代田区設が関三丁目4番3号 特許庁審査官 (権限のある職員)

2 X 3607

髙 芳徳

電話番号 03-3581-1101 内線 3294

様式PCT/ISA/237 (表紙) (2004年1月)

1.)

〒542-0081

徳島ピル7階

あて名

PCT/JP2005/014282

国際出願日

(日.月.年)

(日.月.年) 31. 01. 2005

松下電工株式会社

· <u></u>	Brwwiel1	四际山旗银行。177772005/014282
第 I 欄 見解の基礎		
1. この見解書は、下	記に示	す場合を除くほか、国際出願の言語を基礎として作成された。
「この見解書は それは国際調	` ——	語による翻訳文を基礎として作成した。 かに提出されたPCT規則12.3及び23.1(b)にいう翻訳文の言語である。
2. この国際出願で開 以下に基づき見解		かつ請求の範囲に係る発明に不可欠なヌクレオチド又はアミノ酸配列に関して、 成した。
a. タイプ	Г	配列表
	Г	配列表に関連するテーブル
b. フォーマット	r	書面
	<u> </u>	コンピュータ読み取り可能な形式
c. 提出時期	r.	出願時の国際出願に含まれる
•	Γ	この国際出願と共にコンピュータ読み取り可能な形式により提出された
•		出願後に、調査のために、この国際調査機関に提出された
		2列表に関連するテーブルを提出した場合に、出願後に提出した配列若しくは追加して提出し 出した配列と同一である旨、又は、出願時の開示を超える事項を含まない旨の陳述書の提出が
4. 補足意見:		

第	II個 新規性、進歩性又は産業上の利用可能性についての見解の不作成
	次に関して、当該請求の範囲に記載されている発明の新規性、進歩性又は産業上の利用可能性につき、次の理由により 審査しない。
ľ	国際出願全体
F	プ 請求の範囲1 O
理日	· ·
	この国際出願又は請求の範囲
	次の事項を内容としている(具体的に記載すること)。
•	
V	明細書、請求の範囲若しくは図面(次に示す部分)又は請求の範囲
	請求の範囲10には「前記凸係合部」との記載があるが、請求の範囲10が引用する、 請求の範囲1,9には上記事項が記載されていないため、PCT 第6条における明確性 の要件を欠く。
 	全部の請求の範囲又は請求の範囲
•	裏付けを欠くため、見解を示すことができない。
Γ	請求の範囲 について、国際調査報告が作成されていない。
Γ	ヌクレオチド又はアミノ酸の配列表が、実施細則の附属 書C(塩基配列又はアミノ酸配列を含む明細 書等の作成のためのガイドライン)に定める基準を、次の点で満たしていない。
	書面による配列表が
	コンピュータ読み取り可能な形式による配列表が
_	コンピュータ読み取り可能な形式によるヌクレオチド又はアミノ酸の配列表に関連するテーブルが、実施細則の附属 改 Cの2に定める技術的な要件を、次の点で満たしていない。
	「 提出されていない。 「 所定の技術的な要件を満たしていない。
Γ	詳細については補充欄を参照すること。

第	V欄 新規性、進歩性又は産業」 それを裏付る文献及び説明	との利用可能性につい 月	ゝてのPCT規則 43 の 2.1(a)(i)に定める見解、	
1.	見解	,		
	新規性(N)	請求の範囲 請求の範囲	1-9,11	有
	進歩性 (IS)	請求の範囲 請求の範囲	<u>4-8,11</u> 1-3,9	有
	産業上の利用可能性(IA)	請求の範囲	1-9,11	有

2. 文献及び説明

文献1: KR 2004-110660 A (OPTICIS CO. LTD.)

2004.12.31,全文,第2-3図

文献2: JP 2004-12624 A (株式会社フジクラ)

2004.01.15,段落【0012】—【0015】,第1-4図請求の範囲1-3,9に係る発明は、国際調査報告で引用された文献1,2により新規性、進歩性を有しない。

文献1の第2-3図には、第1のホルダー(110)と第2のホルダー(120)をシャフト(151)により接合させ、両者にブラケット(130)を上方から嵌合した発明が開示されている。また(130)からは、(110)及び(120)の対向方向と垂直な方向への(130)の移動を規制する2つの弾性片が立設している。文献2の【0012】-【0015】及び第1-4図には、側面(17)にV溝(12)を設けた2つの光フェルール(11)を、板ばねクランプ(13)により下方から嵌合した発明が開示されている。

文献1に記載された(130)を、文献2を参考にして、(110)及び(120)に対し、下方から嵌合して請求の範囲1に係る発明とすることは当業者にとって容易である。

文献1に記載された(120)の、(110)及び(120)の対向方向と平行な面(以下、両側面と呼ぶ。)に当接する該弾性片に加えて、(110)の両側面に当接する2つの弾性片を新たに設けることは、(130)に格段に優れた作用機能をもたらすものでなく、設計事項に過ぎない。なおかつ、その際に(110)及び(120)の両側面に、文献2の第4図を参考にしてV 溝を設け、更に、(110)及び(120)を(130)の板へ向けて付勢させるために、該弾性片の先端近傍をV 溝の内側に折曲げた上で、請求の範囲2,3に係る発明とすることは当業者にとって容易である。

文献1と文献2より請求項9に係る発明とすることは、当業者にとって容易である。

請求の範囲4-8,11に係る発明は、国際調査報告に引用されたいずれの文献にも記載されておらず、当業者にとって自明な事項でもない。

第四個・国際出願に対する意見

請求の範囲、明細書及び図面の明瞭性又は請求の範囲の明細書による十分な裏付についての意見を次に示す。

請求の範囲10には「前記凸係合部」との記載があるが、請求の範囲10が引用する、 請求の範囲1,9には上記事項が記載されていないため、PCT第6条における明確性の 要件を欠く。

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference PCT-2005-633	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/JP2005/014282	International filing date (day/month/year) 04 August 2005 (04.08.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 31 January 2005 (31.01.2005)
International Patent Classification (8t See relevant information in Form I	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237	
Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC WORK	S, LTD.	
MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC WORK	S, LTD.	<u>. </u>

1.	This internation	national preliminary in al Searching Author	report on patentability (Chapter ity under Rule 44 bis.1(a).	T) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the
2.	In the atta	ched sheets, any refe	al of 6 sheets, including this corence to the written opinion of report on patentability (Chapte	the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference
3.	This repor	nt contains indications	s relating to the following items	s:
	\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the report	•
		Box No. II	Priority	
	\boxtimes	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinapplicability	nion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
		Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	\boxtimes	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under applicability; citations and	Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement
		Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	• .
		Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	rnational application
	\times	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on th	e international application
4.	not, excep	national Bureau will on the state of the sta	communicate this report to design to the communicate this report to design the communicate the	ignated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but er Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority
				Date of issuance of this report 31 July 2007 (31.07.2007)
		The International Bu	•	Authorized officer
		34, chemin des Co 1211 Geneva 20, S		Masashi Honda
Facsi	mile No. +4	1 22 338 82 70		e-mail: pt08.pct@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION PCT-2005-633 See paragraph 2 below Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 31.01.2005 04.08.2005 PCT/JP2005/014282 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC WORKS, LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/014282

Box	x No. I	Basis of this opinion	
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the la , unless otherwise indicated under this item.	nguage in which it was
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following lang	
	_	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)). , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of interesting to the purposes of interesting to the purpose of	rnational search (under
	***		rescars to the alaimal
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and nention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	occomy to the claimed
	a.	type of material	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b.	format of material	
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	
	C.	time of filing/furnishing	
		contained in the international application as filed.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
1		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating th	ereto has been filed on
3.		furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to the filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	nat in the application as
_	A 3 **	itional comments:	
4.	Addi	itional comments:	
		•	
	•		
		•	
		•	,
			•
			•
		· · ·	•
		•	

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/014282

Box No. II	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The questicapplicable l	ons whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 10
because	
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
	retime to the following spojovy manner where the spojovy manner where t
•	
•	
\boxtimes	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 10 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	Claim 10 states "said engagement projections," but since claims 1 and 9 quoted by claim
	10 do not state the said matter, claim 10 does not satisfy the requirement of clarity in PCT
	Article 6.
	·
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative. Instructions in that:
<u>.</u>	the written form has not been furnished
·	does not comply with the standard
	the computer readable form has not been furnished .
	does not comply with the standard
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further details.

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/014282

1.	Statement		.•	
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-9, 11	YI
	•	Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	4-8, 11	YI
		Claims	1-3, 9	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-9, 11	Y1
		Claims	· · ·	Ni Ni

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: KR, 2004-110660, A (Opticis Co., Ltd.), 31 December, 2004 (31.12.04), full text, Figs. 2 and 3

Document 2: JP, 2004-12624, A (Fujikura Ltd.), 15 January, 2004 (15.01.04), paragraphs [0012]- [0015], Figs. 1-4

The subject matters of claims 1-3 and 9 do not appear to be novel or to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2 cited in the ISR.

Document 1 (Figs. 2 and 3) discloses an invention in which (a) a first holder (110) and a second holder (120) are joined by a shaft (151) and (b) a bracket (130) is fitted on them from above. Further, two elastic pieces for regulating the movement of the bracket (130) in the direction perpendicular to the direction in which the holders (110) and (120) oppose each other are erected from the bracket (130). Document 2 ([0012]-[0015] and Figs. 1-4) discloses an invention in which a plate spring clamp (13) is fitted on two optical ferrules (11) with V grooves (12) formed on their lateral sides (17), from below.

A person skilled in the art could have easily fitted the bracket (130) on the holders (110) and (120) from below with reference to document 2, to arrive at the subject matter of claim 1.

In addition to the said elastic pieces kept in contact with the surfaces of the second holder (120) in parallel to the direction in which the holders (110) and (120) oppose each other (hereinafter called "both the lateral surfaces") described in document 1, two elastic pieces are newly kept in contact with both the lateral surfaces of the first holder (110) in the present application. This addition does not give an especially excellent action or function to the bracket (130), and is a mere matter of design variation. Further, a person skilled in the art could have easily (a) formed V grooves on both the lateral surfaces of the holders (110) and (120) with reference to document 2 (Fig. 4) and (b) bent the portions near the tips of the said elastic pieces inward in the V grooves for forcing the holders (110) and (120) toward the plate of the bracket (130), to arrive at the subject matters of claims 2 and 3.

A person skilled in the art could have easily arrived at the subject matter of claim 9 from documents 1 and 2.

The subject matters of claims 4-8 and 11 are neither described in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor obvious to a person skilled in the art.

the description, are made:

International application No. PCT/JP2005/014282

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by

Claim 10 states "said engagement projections," but since claims 1 and 9 quoted by claim 10 do not state the said matter, claim 10 does not satisfy the requirement of clarity in PCT Article 6.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box VIII) (January 2004)